Western Metropolitan Regional Council

Suite 2/317 Churchill Avenue, Subiaco WA 6008
T 08 9384 4003 **E** admin@wmrc.wa.gov.au
www.wmrc.wa.gov.au

EXPRESSION OF INTEREST

EOI 01 – 2026: FOGO Resource Recovery and Processing

Document detail	Information
EOI number	EOI 01 – 2026 FOGO PROCESSING
Closing date and time	5 pm, AWST, Thursday 26 November 2026
Deadline for any questions or queries	5 pm, AWST, Tuesday 17 November 2026
Lodgement method	By email to tenders@wmrc.wa.gov.au. Submissions shall be provided as set out in Clause 18
Late submissions	EOIs received after the Closing Time or at a place other than specified in the notice must be rejected under regulation 23(1).
All Enquiries	Mr Brett McInnes, Chief Operating Officer. Brett.mcinnes@wmrc.wa.gov.au 08 9384 4003

1. Invitation and EOI purpose

Western Metropolitan Regional Council (WMRC) invites Expressions of Interest (EOI) from suitably experienced and capable organisations for future resource recovery and processing of Food Organics and Garden Organics (FOGO) managed by WMRC.

WMRC's current FOGO processing contract expires on 31 August 2027. WMRC seeks reliable processing services from 1 September 2027 and is testing market capability, processing pathways and commercial models to improve environmental, economic, circular economy and community outcomes.

This EOI is deliberately technology neutral. Respondents may propose a solution for the whole FOGO stream, a defined component of the stream or an integrated solution using multiple processing pathways. Established and innovative solutions are welcome where their maturity, suitability, risks and implementation pathway are clearly identified.

Council endorsed a two-stage procurement process at its Ordinary Council Meeting held 24 September 2026 (resolution 10.4.2):

1. an EOI (this document)
2. selective Request for Tender (RFT) informed by the EOI.

This EOI seeks to identify persons capable of satisfactorily supplying the services under regulations 21 to 24 of the *Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996*. The CEO will report the EOI outcomes to Council before preparation of the RFT. This EOI is not a tender and creates no contract or commitment to procure services.

Respondents who may not be able to receive and process FOGO on 1 September 2027 but are developing plans to be able to receive and process FOGO at a later date are encouraged to submit an Expression of Interest. This will greatly assist WMRC in determining the minimum contract term for FOGO Processing after 1 September 2027.

2. About WMRC

WMRC is a regional local government providing waste and resource recovery services to the Town of Claremont, Town of Cottesloe, Town of Mosman Park, City of Subiaco and Shire of Peppermint Grove. WMRC also provides services to non-member local governments.

WMRC seeks to improve resource recovery, material quality, market readiness and long-term value while supporting circular economy and emissions reduction outcomes.

3. Current FOGO arrangements

FOGO is currently delivered to the West Metro Recycling Centre, where it is received and consolidated before bulk transport to a commercial processing facility near Gingin. The material is processed by open windrow composting for beneficial reuse.

The tonnages below are historical and are not guaranteed future supply. Respondents must state their volume assumptions and explain the effect of lower or higher tonnages on capacity, pricing and minimum commitments. Attachment A will provide the available non-binding forecast and known customer changes.

Metric	2026/2027 budget
Annual FOGO throughput	Approximately 14,000 tonnes
Contamination	Generally, less than 5% by weight

2026/2027 Budget FOGO tonnes by supplying local government:

Local government	Tonnes
City of Subiaco	2,189
Town of Cottesloe	1,536
Town of Mosman Park	1,690
Shire of Peppermint Grove	397
City of Perth (part year)	110
City of Vincent	4,828
Town of Victoria Park	3,224

Note: the contract with City of Vincent is due to expire and the City is currently tendering for this service. WMRC may or may not be the service provider for City of Vincent FOGO processing after 7 November 2026.

4. Outcomes sought

WMRC seeks proposals that appropriately balance the following outcomes:

- safe, reliable and continuous service delivery;
- processing that achieves high resource recovery and minimal residual disposal requirements;
- credible beneficial-use markets for recovered products;
- reduced greenhouse gas emissions and, where applicable, renewable energy, carbon sequestration or nutrient recovery;
- effective and transparent contamination management;

- whole-of-life value for money rather than lowest price alone;
- regulatory and operational certainty; and
- flexibility to respond to changing feedstock, markets, regulation and technology.

A key consideration within proposals will be an understanding of the current or planned ability to receive and process FOGO.

5. Scope of potential solutions

Respondents may propose one or more of the following:

Model	Description
A. Whole-stream	Processing substantially all WMRC FOGO.
B. Partial-stream	Processing a defined component or quality grade of WMRC FOGO.
C. Integrated	Two or more complementary processing or recovery pathways.

Potential technologies may include composting, anaerobic digestion, hybrid systems, thermal processing suited to appropriate feedstock components, preprocessing/decontamination and other credible recovery technologies. WMRC does not prefer any technology at EOI stage.

6. Service timing and contract considerations

Services are required from 1 September 2027, following expiry of the current contract on 31 August 2027. Respondents must state whether they can receive and process their nominated FOGO stream from that date, their available capacity, and the evidence supporting readiness.

Where a proposed facility will commence later, identify the development and commissioning program and a credible interim processing arrangement from 1 September 2027, including the facility, delivery partners, capacity, approvals, responsibilities and indicative costs. A future facility without a credible continuity arrangement will not satisfy the commencement gateway for services required from 1 September 2027.

Respondents must propose minimum and preferred initial contract terms, extension options and reasons for those terms. Explain how a shorter initial term, staged transition or alternative commercial arrangement could accommodate new facilities becoming available after 1 September 2027, including any pricing or risk-sharing implications.

7. Response requirements

Responses should be concise, evidence-based and use the schedules in this document. Supporting information may be attached where necessary. Respondents must identify assumptions, qualifications and dependencies.

Respondents are required to complete Schedules 1–6, including the declaration and at least two referees. Identify any departures from the requirements. Consortium responses must identify the proposed lead contracting entity, each delivery partner and its responsibilities. The response must address every gateway requirement in section 15.1.

7.1 Respondent and corporate capability

- legal entity, ABN, ownership and proposed contracting entity;
- details of Business Structure (Sole Trader, Partnership, Company, Trust, Co-operative, Indigenous Corporation or Joint Venture)
- relevant organisational experience and key personnel;
- financial and organisational capacity to deliver the proposal (including any recent Annual Financial Statements);
- comparable contracts and facilities; and
- at least two relevant referees.

7.2 Proposed solution

- technology and process flow;
- facility location and whether existing, planned or requiring modification;
- proposed feedstock type and quantity;
- preprocessing and decontamination;
- principal products, residues and destinations;
- operational life and scalability; and
- all material technical assumptions.

7.3 Technology maturity and evidence

Respondents must identify the maturity of each material element of the proposed solution and provide evidence of comparable commercial operation, including location, commencement date, throughput, feedstock, availability/performance and reference customers. Any technology not operating at comparable municipal scale must be clearly identified.

Maturity level	Description
1	Concept, laboratory or pilot only
2	Demonstration facility

Maturity level	Description
3	Commercial operation at materially different scale and/or feedstock
4	Comparable commercial operation
5	Multiple comparable commercial facilities with established operating history

8. Standard quantitative response

Respondents must complete Schedule 3. Where a measure is applicable but cannot presently be quantified, state “Unknown” and explain why. Use “N/A” only where the measure is genuinely not applicable. Performance claims must identify the methodology, assumptions and evidentiary basis.

9. Contamination management

Contamination is taken to be material that is not either food organics, garden organics or certified compostable caddy liners. Respondents must explain / indicate how contamination is measured, removed, disposed of and priced, including any impacts on recovery of organic material. Proposals should identify preferred and maximum contamination thresholds and any load rejection arrangements. WMRC is particularly interested in mechanisms that support improved feedstock quality without unnecessarily discarding recoverable organics. WMRC may work with its customer councils to promote contamination reduction and avoidance through education, enforcement and collection processes.

Respondents must describe the sampling and validation method, basis of measurement, records provided to WMRC, and arrangements for reviewing disputed assessments. Identify any restrictions on otherwise permitted FOGO materials, including certified compostable caddy liners, and explain their treatment. State the destination and cost of removed contaminants and distinguish those costs from processing charges.

In line with current practice, WMRC has to date expressed contamination as a load percentage by weight and accepting higher gate fees applying to higher contamination percentages (on basis that the Contractor is managing the removal and disposal of this contamination). In the case of greater than 10% contamination by weight it is usual that the entire load is rejected and sent to the WMRC’s contracted waste to energy service provider.

Respondents should indicate preference for contamination measurement (by weight, by volume, by item count or no preference); how the contamination would be dealt with and how (if required) gate fee is adjusted.

10. Resource recovery, environmental performance and end markets

Respondents must quantify resource recovery and environmental outcomes wherever reasonably practicable and describe the destination and beneficial use of all outputs and residues.

- State the proportion of input beneficially recovered, disposed to landfill and otherwise managed. Percentages should reconcile to approximately 100%.
- For greenhouse gas claims, state the assessment boundary, methodology, key assumptions and whether independently verified.
- For energy outputs, state gross and net energy where relevant and identify the proposed use/offtake.
- For recovered products, provide expected quantity, specification or standard, identified customers/offtake, market security, storage requirements and fallback arrangements.

WMRC will place greater weight on demonstrated markets and independently supportable performance than on technically possible end uses or unsupported environmental claims.

11. Transport, logistics and continuity

WMRC will be responsible for arranging the transport of FOGO from the West Metro Recycling Centre to the nominated processing facility. Accordingly, respondents are not required to include haulage within their proposed processing service or indicative processing fee.

FOGO waste is usually delivered in bulk trailers (nominal 20 to 23 Tonne loads) and blade ejected through rear doors of the trailers.

To enable WMRC to assess the transport implications and whole-of-service cost of each proposal, respondents must provide:

- the address and location of the proposed processing facility;
- the road distance from the West Metro Recycling Centre;
- the facility's normal receival days and hours;
- any restrictions applying to delivery vehicles, vehicle configuration, payload or access;
- maximum daily and weekly receival capacity;
- any requirements relating to delivery scheduling or advance notification;
- any requirements concerning the condition, containment or presentation of FOGO on delivery;
- arrangements applying where material cannot be received because of planned or unplanned facility outages; and
- proposed contingency arrangements for continued processing during planned maintenance, equipment failure or other interruption to normal operations.
- Whether or not a licenced Weighbridge exists at the receiving facility
- Suitability to receive waste via bulk rear blade ejection trailers OR what preferred haulage and delivery requirements exist.

Respondents should identify any transport or receival requirements that may materially affect WMRC's cost or ability to deliver FOGO to the proposed facility.

12. Commercial information

All commercial information and prices sought through this EOI are indicative and non-binding. Prices must exclude GST, and respondents must state all assumptions. Provide the following:

- processing/gate fee excluding WMRC-arranged haulage, consistent with section 11;
- other operating or establishment charges;
- minimum annual tonnage or take-or-pay commitments;
- indexation method;
- minimum and preferred contract terms;
- capital or other contribution sought from WMRC;
- third-party revenues or revenue-sharing that materially affect the proposal;
- commercial consequences of material changes in contamination or tonnage.
- alternative commercial models, proposed risk sharing and the effect of different initial terms or staged service arrangements.

WMRC may use the information to assess affordability and shape a subsequent procurement but does not require final tender pricing at EOI stage.

13. Regulatory, site and implementation status

Respondents must provide details of the regulatory, site and implementation status of their proposed solution, including:

- environmental, works, planning and other approvals currently held;
- approvals still required and expected timeframes;
- site ownership, lease or other control;
- financing, construction or infrastructure dependencies;
- odour, fire, noise, traffic and sensitive-receptor considerations; and
- an indicative implementation program from contracting through commissioning and full operations.

Where proposed infrastructure is not presently operational, the respondent must identify the critical path, responsibility for each dependency, and contingency if the required commencement date is not achieved.

Respondents are specifically to provide details of their existing DWER Licence or Works Approvals for the proposed processing facility. Where proposals do not as yet have approved Licences or Works Approvals in place, Respondents are to provide details of their actions to date and planned in this regard.

14. Risk and flexibility

Respondents must identify the five most material risks to delivery and ongoing operation and describe proposed mitigations. At a minimum, consider technology, regulatory, feedstock, contamination, end-market, financial, commissioning, continuity, community/reputation and contract risks.

Respondents should also explain how their proposal can accommodate changes in volume, composition, contamination, regulation and technology, and whether material can be redirected between recovery pathways during the contract term.

15. Evaluation

15.1 Gateway requirements

Responses will first be checked for lodgement and compliance. An EOI submitted other than at the place or within the time specified in the notice must be rejected. Other failures to meet the notice requirements may result in rejection without assessment of merits. Responses not rejected will be considered against the following capability gateways:

1. a technically credible pathway for processing the nominated FOGO stream;
2. available or developable processing capacity relevant to the tonnage proposed;
3. ability to receive and process the nominated stream from 1 September 2027, directly or through a credible interim arrangement meeting section 6;
4. relevant approvals or a credible pathway to obtain them;
5. lawful and credible beneficial-use or disposal pathways for all material outputs and residues;
6. sufficient organisational, technical and financial capability; and
7. sufficient information to permit meaningful evaluation.

15.2 Weighted criteria (Qualitative Criteria)

Criterion	Weight
Technical capability, maturity and deliverability	40%
Environmental and resource recovery outcomes	20%
Commercial proposition and value for money	15%
Contamination management and feedstock flexibility	10%
Markets and beneficial use of outputs	5%
Regulatory, operational and implementation risk	5%
Strategic flexibility and innovation	5%
TOTAL	**100%**

15.3 Evaluation principles

Responses will be assessed against the gateway requirements and the weighted criteria above, using the scoring guide below. The assessment will record the evidence, material qualifications, delivery risks and reasons supporting the recommendation. Weighted scores inform the decision; a high total score does not overcome a failure to demonstrate satisfactory delivery capability. No fixed number of acceptable tenderers is prescribed.

Rating	Definition	Score
UNACCEPTABLE	Was not evaluated as it did not provide any requested information relevant to the evaluation criterion. The Evaluation Panel is not confident that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request.	0
INADEQUATE	Totally unconvincing and requirement has not been met. The Respondent provided an inadequate response to the criterion. Claims against the evaluation criterion were not relevant to the requirements. The Evaluation Panel is not confident that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to the required standard.	1
VERY POOR	Significantly flawed and fundamental details are lacking. The Respondent provided a very poor response to the criterion. Minimal information provided in response to the evaluation criterion. The Evaluation Panel has critical reservations that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to the required standard.	2
POOR	Unconvincing. The Respondent provided a poor response to the criterion. Significant shortcomings in claims made against the evaluation criterion. The Evaluation Panel has major reservations that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to the required standard.	3

Rating	Definition	Score
MARGINAL	The Respondent has provided a marginal response to the criterion and may not cover all aspects. Response has some shortcomings and deficiencies in demonstrating claims against the evaluation criterion. The Evaluation Panel has some reservations that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to the required standard.	4
ADEQUATE	The Respondent has provided an adequate response to the criterion. Claims against the evaluation criterion cover the basic requirements. The Evaluation Panel is reasonably confident that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to the required standard.	5
GOOD	Response complies and is credible. The Evaluation Panel is reasonably confident that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to a good standard.	6
VERY GOOD	Response complies, is convincing and credible. No uncertainties or shortcomings in claims against the criterion. The Evaluation Panel is confident that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to a very good standard.	7
SUPERIOR	Response complies, is convincing and credible. All claims substantiated and supported. The Evaluation Panel is highly confident that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to a high standard.	8
OUTSTANDING	Highly convincing and credible. Respondent demonstrates outstanding quality in response to the criterion. All claims demonstrated and substantiated. The Evaluation Panel is very confident that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to a high standard.	9

Rating	Definition	Score
EXCELLENT	Highly convincing and credible. Respondent demonstrates excellent quality in response to the criterion. Comprehensively documented with all claims fully substantiated. The Evaluation Panel is extremely confident that the Respondent would be able to meet the requirements of the Request to a very high standard.	10

Respondents are to achieve a Qualitative Pass Mark of 50% or higher to be considered further.

WMRC will decide which respondents are capable of satisfactorily supplying the services. Following that decision, the CEO will list those persons as acceptable tenderers under regulation 23(4). The scope of capability, including any nominated partial stream or interim arrangement, will be recorded. Identification as an acceptable tenderer does not guarantee a contract.

16. EOI and RFT process

16.1 Indicative timetable

The following program is indicative and subject to confirmed meeting dates and procurement approvals. The exact EOI closing date and time on the cover page govern submissions. Respondents must identify any mobilisation period that cannot be accommodated by this program.

Stage	Date
EOI issued	Week ending 25 October 2026
Deadline for questions	5 PM, Tuesday 17 November 2026
EOI closing date and time	5 PM AWST Thursday 26 November 2026
Assessment and due diligence	November–December 2026; target completion by end December
Council consideration of EOI outcomes	January 2027 Ordinary Council Meeting, subject to confirmed meeting arrangements
Selective RFT preparation	Following Council consideration; target completion 1 March 2027
Selective RFT period	Indicatively 10–31 March 2027
Tender assessment and recommendation	April 2027

Stage	Date
Award decision	May 2027, or a special meeting by mid-June if required
Contract execution and mobilisation	June 2027, before service commencement
Service commencement	1 September 2027

16.2 Enquiries

All enquiries and requests for discussions must be directed to the Contact Officer on the cover page. WMRC may discuss proposals with potential respondents during the EOI period. Discussions will be recorded; respondents must not rely on oral advice unless confirmed in writing by WMRC. Material clarifications affecting the common requirements or assessment will be communicated consistently to all registered prospective respondents. Proprietary proposal information will be treated in accordance with section 17.3.

16.3 Clarification and due diligence

Subject to applicable procurement requirements, WMRC may seek clarification or additional information, request presentations, inspect facilities, contact referees, verify claims and undertake relevant due diligence. WMRC may elect not to consider unsolicited supplementary information submitted after the Closing Time.

16.4 Subsequent process

The intended next stage is a selective RFT to acceptable tenderers, informed by the EOI findings and following Council consideration of the CEO's report. The RFT will establish the final service scope, contract term, pricing requirements and conditions. Any alternative procurement approach or material scope change will require the appropriate decision and compliance with applicable procurement requirements. WMRC may decide not to proceed.

16.5 Notification of outcome

The CEO will notify every person who submitted an EOI in writing of the acceptable tenderers, a decision not to invite tenders because no suitable respondent was identified, or any other outcome, as applicable under regulation 24.

17. Conditions of EOI

17.1 Respondent costs and no commitment

Respondents bear their own costs of participating. This EOI does not constitute an offer by WMRC and no contractual relationship arises from submission, evaluation, shortlisting or discussion of an EOI.

17.2 Information and assumptions

Respondents are responsible for satisfying themselves as to the adequacy of information provided and for identifying assumptions. WMRC does not guarantee historical or indicative future tonnage unless expressly stated in a later contract.

17.3 Confidentiality

Respondents should clearly identify information claimed to be confidential or commercial-in-confidence and provide reasons. WMRC will handle information subject to its statutory, governance, records and disclosure obligations.

17.4 Probity, conflicts and canvassing

Respondents must disclose actual, potential or perceived conflicts of interest. Communications concerning this EOI must be through the nominated Contact Officer. Canvassing or collusive or anti-competitive conduct may result in exclusion, subject to applicable law and WMRC procurement requirements.

18. Lodgement

EOIs must be received in the nominated mailbox by the closing time below. Respondents are responsible for allowing sufficient time for transmission of every part. WMRC will not acknowledge receipt of submissions.

Requirement	Details
Closing date and time	2:00 pm Australian Western Standard Time (AWST), Thursday, 26 November 2026.
Lodgement	By email to tenders@wmrc.wa.gov.au
File format	Submit the completed response and supporting documents in searchable PDF format. Quantitative and pricing schedules must also be provided in editable Word or Excel format, with Excel preferred for numerical data and calculations. File names are to be less than 20 characters.
File-size limit	Maximum 10 MB per email, including attachments. Larger submissions may be split across sequentially numbered emails identifying the respondent and total number of parts (for example, “Part 1 of 3”). All parts must be received before the closing time. Submit attached files; download links are not accepted.
Data Security	Respondents represent that they have taken reasonable steps to ensure that submitted files are free of viruses, worms and other disabling features which may affect the WMRC’s computing environment. Responses found to contain viruses, worms or other disabling features may be excluded from the evaluation process
Late submissions	EOIs received after the Closing Time or at a place other than specified in the notice must be rejected under regulation 23(1).

Schedule 1 - Respondent information

Item	Respondent response
Legal entity	
Trading name	
ABN	
Registered address	
Details of Business Structure (Sole Trader, Partnership, Company, Trust, Co-operative, Indigenous Corporation or Joint Venture)	
Contact person	
Telephone	
Email	
Parent company, if applicable	
Proposed contracting entity	
Proposed model	Whole-stream / Partial-stream / Integrated / Other
Consortium partners and responsibilities	
DWER Licence and/or Works Approvals in place or planned	

Proposal summary

Provide a concise description of the proposed solution, facility/facilities, technology, nominated FOGO stream and delivery model.

Schedule 2 - Executive proposal summary

Cross-reference supporting material where necessary.

Matter	Response
Technology / processing pathway	
Facility location and status	
WMRC tonnage proposed	
Earliest commencement	
Expected resource recovery	
Key environmental outcomes	
Contamination tolerance	
Indicative total service cost	
Minimum / preferred contract term	
Principal delivery risks	
Key advantages / value proposition	
Readiness from 1 September 2027 and interim arrangements	
Alternative commercial model and proposed risk sharing	

Schedule 3 - Quantitative response

Complete all applicable fields. Provide units on all quantities and cross-reference supporting evidence.

Metric	Respondent response
FOGO tonnes/year proposed to be accepted	
Total facility design capacity, tpa	
Current available/uncommitted capacity, tpa	
Minimum WMRC tonnage, tpa	
Maximum WMRC tonnage, tpa	
Preferred feedstock composition / restrictions	
Preferred contamination level, % by weight	
Maximum contamination accepted, % by weight	
Contamination measurement method	
Estimated organic loss through decontamination, %	
Treatment/destination of removed contamination	
Indicative processing/gate fee, $/t ex GST (detail any tiered gate fee reflective of contamination levels)	
Other operating charge, $/t ex GST	
Contamination pricing mechanism / bands	
Indexation method	
Minimum contract term	
Preferred contract term	
Organic material beneficially recovered, % input	
Landfill disposal, % input	
Other residual, % input	
Principal recovered products and quantity/t input	
Recovered output with identified market, %	
Net GHG emissions, kg CO2-e/t input	
GHG methodology and boundary	
Renewable electricity, net kWh/t input	
Renewable heat, net GJ/t input	

Metric	Respondent response
Renewable gas/fuel, quantity/t input	
Carbon sequestered, kg CO2-e/t input	
Water consumption, kL/t input, if material	
Facility address	
Road distance from West Metro Recycling Centre, km	
Delivery vehicle / payload / access restrictions (WMRC arranges haulage)	
Earliest commencement date	
Comparable commercial facilities operating	
Capacity available from 1 July 2027, tpa	
Interim facility, provider and capacity, if required	
Maximum daily / weekly receival capacity, tonnes	
Receival days and hours	
Contamination validation and assessment review process	
Certified compostable caddy liner acceptance / restrictions	
Initial term alternatives and associated indicative fees	

Schedule 4 – Qualitative Response, Technical, market and implementation response

A. Technology maturity and process (40%)

Describe process flow, preprocessing, controls, outputs, residues, facility availability and scalability.

Provide evidence of comparable commercial installations and operating performance.

Ability to meet 1 September 2027 commencement date. For later facilities, identify the interim processor, approvals, capacity, responsibilities, indicative costs and evidence supporting continuity. Provide milestones, critical path and commissioning contingencies.

Supporting information provided elsewhere

B. Environmental and Resource Recovery Outcomes (20%)

Explain methodology, assumptions and evidence supporting recovery, emissions, energy and carbon claims.

C. Commercial Proposition and Value for Money (15%)

Explain processing fees excluding WMRC-arranged haulage, minimum and preferred initial terms, extension options, investment requirements, risk sharing and third-party revenues. Identify alternative commercial models and pricing effects of different terms, contamination levels or tonnages.

D. Contamination Management and Feedstock Flexibility (10%)

Explain preferred (or assumed) processes for assessing and dealing with contamination, including stewardship of removed contaminated materials.

Explain sampling and validation, acceptance thresholds, removal and disposal destinations, load rejection, organic recovery loss, pricing and review of disputed assessments. Identify restrictions on certified compostable caddy liners or other permitted FOGO materials, and opportunities to support council contamination reduction programs.

Describe the flexibility of feedstock within the process.

E. Markets and Beneficial Use of Outputs (5%)

For each output identify specification, annual volume, customer/offtake, market security, storage and fallback market.

Describe the beneficial use of the FOGO derived products.

F. Regulatory, Operational and Implementation risk (5%).

Set out approvals held/required, site control and material regulatory dependencies.

Where a proposal is for a facility under development, describe the core implementation risks and how they are being addressed.

Describe the core operational risks within the processing facility (if operational) and how they are managed.

G. Strategic Flexibility and Innovation (5%)

Describe options for variable volumes/composition, split streams and future technology or regulatory change.

Schedule 5 - Referees

Referee	Organisation / role	Project or service	Contact details
1			
2			
3 (optional)			

Schedule 6 - Respondent declaration

The respondent declares that:

- the information in its EOI is true and correct to the best of its knowledge;
- material assumptions, qualifications and dependencies have been disclosed;
- actual, potential or perceived conflicts of interest have been disclosed;
- performance claims have a reasonable evidentiary basis;
- it understands that this EOI does not create a contractual relationship with WMRC; and
- WMRC may make reasonable enquiries to verify information provided, subject to the EOI conditions.

Declaration	Details
Authorised signatory name	
Position	
Signature	
Date	

Attachment A – Feedstock and Operational Information

A1 Annual historical FOGO throughput

The table shows estimated WMRC FOGO throughput of 13,974 tonnes for the financial year 1 July 2025 to 30 30 September 2026. These historical estimates are provided for information only and do not constitute a forecast or guarantee of future supply

Month	Reported tonnes
Oct 2024	975
Nov 2024	824
Dec 2024	887
Jan 2025	830
Feb 2025	747
Mar 2025	739
Apr 2025	790
May 2025	720
Jun 2025	731
Jul 2025	741
Aug 2025	882
Sep 2025	1,253
Oct 2025	1,315
Nov 2025	1,130
Dec 2025	1,319
Jan 2026	1,134
Feb 2026	1,060
Mar 2026	1,172
Apr 2026	1,239
May 2026	1,117
Jun 2026	1,422
Jul 2026	1,385
Aug 2026	1,259
Sep 2026	1,487

A2 Seasonal and daily profile

FOGO deliveries vary seasonally and may increase following storms and periods of higher garden waste generation. Respondents should explain how their proposed service would accommodate fluctuations in volume and peak demand.

A verified daily and hourly delivery profile is not provided with this EOI. Respondents must state their assumptions about delivery frequency, load size and peak demand. Inbound deliveries to WMRC and outbound dispatches to the processor are separate movements and may follow different schedules.

A3 Contamination and caddy liners

Contamination levels may vary between councils and individual loads. A verified whole-stream contamination rate is not provided with this EOI, and respondents must clearly state the contamination assumptions underlying their proposals.

Respondents must describe their assessment and sampling methods, acceptable contamination thresholds, treatment of recoverable organics, and arrangements for rejected loads, additional charges and disputed assessments. Visual estimates must be distinguished from measurements by weight.

Respondents must identify the compostable caddy liner certifications accepted by their proposed process, any restrictions, and associated operational or pricing implications. Restrictions must be identified as qualifications against the definition in section 9. Final liner acceptance requirements will be established through the subsequent procurement process.

A4 Composition

A verified food-to-garden organics ratio is not provided with this EOI. Composition may vary seasonally and between participating councils. Separately collected green waste is a distinct stream and must not be used to infer the garden organics proportion within FOGO.

Respondents must state the composition and caddy liner assumptions underlying their proposals and explain how variations would affect capacity, processing performance, recovered products and pricing.

A5 Receival consolidation and loading

FOGO is received and consolidated at the West Metro Recycling Centre in Shenton Park before bulk transport to the processor. Historical throughput does not establish the site's available storage or loading capacity.

Respondents must identify their proposed receival and unloading requirements, compatible vehicle configurations, anticipated turnaround times and any equipment or infrastructure interfaces required at WMRC. Proposals must also address cleaning, leachate management and arrangements for delayed or rejected loads.

Detailed loading arrangements and site interfaces will be confirmed during the subsequent procurement process. Any proposal dependent on changes to WMRC's existing operations or infrastructure must clearly identify those changes, associated costs and responsibilities.

A6 Service windows and transport constraints

WMRC's public opening hours do not define council delivery, bulk dispatch or processor acceptance windows. Detailed operational windows and transport requirements are not prescribed at this EOI stage.

Respondents must specify:

- Proposed acceptance hours for weekdays, weekends and public holidays.

- Booking requirements, last-arrival times and arrangements for delays or interruptions.

- Vehicle access requirements and configuration, height, turning and unloading restrictions.

- Any route constraints relevant to accessing the proposed facility.

- Contingency arrangements, including alternative receival locations and associated costs.

WMRC currently transports FOGO from Shenton Park to REMONDIS GO Organics at Boonanarring, near Gingin. Respondents must provide the address and access details of each proposed receival facility so WMRC can assess haulage requirements and costs.

A7 Future volumes and customer changes

Historical tonnages do not represent committed future supply. Future volumes will depend on participating councils, service arrangements and procurement outcomes. WMRC does not guarantee a minimum volume through this EOI.

The City of Vincent is undertaking a separate FOGO procurement. Its historical deliveries must not be treated as secured supply for the proposed contract period. The City of Perth's historical contribution includes a part-year service and must not be treated as an established annual volume.

No committed future volume forecast is provided with this EOI. Respondents must state their minimum, preferred and maximum annual volumes and explain how changes in supply would affect pricing, capacity allocation and service delivery. Any proposed minimum-volume commitment must be clearly identified.

A8 WMRC site information

This EOI does not offer or commit any allocation of WMRC land, utility capacity, infrastructure or site access rights.

Respondents proposing infrastructure or activities at the West Metro Recycling Centre must identify the required footprint, utilities, access, approvals, capital investment, ownership arrangements and operational interfaces. Proposals must explain how construction and operation would interact with existing services and any future redevelopment.

Any use of the WMRC site would require separate assessment and approval, confirmation of site suitability and capacity, and agreement on commercial and operational terms. Respondents must clearly identify all assumptions and dependencies relating to site availability.

Attachment B - Background Discussion Paper

WMRC's September 2026 Discussion Paper, Future Directions for FOGO Processing, is intended to be provided as contextual background. It does not prescribe a preferred technology, constitute a technical specification, or override this EOI.